



COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH ...

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

© Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1990 • FOUNDER • 1993

Issue No. 528, December 1, 1968

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

NATIONALISM VS. INTERNATIONALISM IS THE ISSUE

AMERICA FIRST, LAST AND ALWAYS

We Pledge Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for Which It
Stands: One Nation Under God, Indivisible, with Liberty and Justice for All

The Real Rift In World Communism

The mass media are full of stories about a "rift" in World Communism. There is indeed a rift in World Communism, but the mass media do not tell the truth about it. Apparently it is the policy of the American mass media never to tell the truth about anything. The development of "Literary Technology" in America is amazing. Vast hordes of literary workers and editorial quacks have to be trained to lie in unison. The literary "Spokesmen" of our culture have nothing to say, but they say it very well.

Let us now completely dismiss the pack of lies told by the mass media and examine the rift in World Communism under the cold, cruel light of reality. It is obvious that something big is going on. But what? East-West tensions are very real and very dangerous. The peace of the world hangs by a very thin thread. The mass media tell us that the West stands for "Freedom" and "Private Enterprise," while the East stands for "State Socialism." This explanation is generally accepted, simply because it is repeated endlessly in the mass media. It will not bear close inspection. If the Western capitalists stand for "Freedom" and "Private Enterprise," then WHY did they finance and promote the Russian and Chinese Communist revolutions? The mass media indicate that there is something called "Liberal Communism" which is acceptable. Why is "Liberal" Communism acceptable, while other kinds of Communism are not acceptable? The fact is that the American capitalists have financed and promoted Communism around the world; but they do not support or like the kind of Communism which is in the saddle in the Kremlin today. The Kremlin does not cooperate with the capitalists. The capitalists originally financed and promoted the Russian revolution with the expectation that the Russian Communists would be completely subservient to the Wall Street capitalists. They still hope some day to bring Russia back within their orbit. This hope is expressed by the theory of "Convergence." It is said that the Russian system and the American system will develop to a point at which a merger between them will be feasible. The NEW YORK TIMES is always saying, "We must reach an agreement with the East." Yet tendencies toward "Convergence" do not develop no matter how eagerly the American Liberals look for such tendencies.

The real battle is not between "Communism" and "Capitalism," still less between "Democratic freedom" and "Communist slavery." The real battle is between Nationalism and Internationalism. Here, we find a curious incongruity. It is America which stands for Internationalism; it is Russia which stands

(Continued on Page 2, Column 1)



Czech-Mate?

Zionism Real Issue
In USSR Czech Invasion

By A. K. CHESTERTON

Republished from Candour,
The British Views-Letter

The one certainty about the Czechoslovakian crisis which led to the Russian invasion is that what has been made known of the circumstances is at least balanced by what has not been made known. Viewed at the child's story-book level, which is how current events are presented to and accepted by the general public, there is no missing factor, or at any rate no factor of cardinal importance which remains undisclosed.

Nothing could be simpler than the issues thus set forth. The patron saint of the Czechs is a Slovakian named Alexander Dubcek, a Communist "goody" who wished for his country a liberal regime wherein all men would be free fearlessly to express their thoughts. I cast no doubt on Mr. Dubcek's good faith, but merely state a grim fact, when I say that Communism and individual freedom have not hitherto displayed themselves as a political equation.

The Russians, whose role as the "baddies" cannot authentically be denied, are said to have disliked the notion that the Czech newspapers and radio should feel at liberty to discuss domestic affairs without reference to the Moscow "line," and showed their displeasure by sending hundreds of tanks to occupy Prague and the rest of the country.

Dubcek Disappeared

The good Mr. Dubcek disappeared from view and what happened to him before the Russians unexpectedly produced him at a

(Continued on Page 3, Column 2)

Monroe Doctrine Vital To U.S. Survival

By J. JOHNSTON McCauley

There are many today who would have us forget the Monroe Doctrine, who would dismiss as obsolete that which cannot be used in relation to current problems. There are many today who do not know the original purpose of this instrument.

During the early years of the 19th century the Spanish colonies in Central and South America were struggling for independence. That some alien power might seize the opportunity to take control over one or more of these newly liberated colonies, or attempt to establish new ones in South America, aroused much concern on the part of our government.

This anxiety resulted in the adoption of a resolution by Congress in 1811 protesting the transfer of any former Spanish colony to a European power. But for the next dozen years the major powers of Europe continued to cast longing glances in the direction of the rich and fertile continent.

In December 1823, Congress approved a declaration by President James Monroe in which he stated our opposition to alien colonization and the readiness of our country to back it up in whatever form necessary. In 1848 President James Polk received word that Great Britain was offering a small group of Mexican politicians a generous price for control of the Isthmus of Yucatan. The President sent a message to Congress declaring that our government would not agree to a transfer, and the British government backed off. Thus, Polk performed an important service for his successors by standing up to a European power in its illegal quest for a foothold in this hemisphere. But the Monroe Doctrine became very effective under Lincoln's Secretary of State, William Seward, during the crisis presented by France's intervention in Mexico during and immediately following the Civil War.

This began with Great Britain and Spain, as partners, in an attempt to split up Mexico between them, but they soon withdrew, leaving France the sole party of intervention. Napoleon installed the Austrian Archduke Maximilian on the throne in Mexico City. Because this country was engaged in her own terrible war between the States, little could be accomplished until the conflict terminated. Then Seward turned the pressure on the French government who shortly thereafter withdrew its troops. Thus Maximilian's government collapsed and Mexico was again governed by Mexicans.

Seward's insistence upon the withdrawal of the French military forces from Mexico trans-

(Continued on Page 4, Column 1)

Real Rift in World Communism

(Continued from Page 1, Column 1)


for old-fashioned Nationalism. American Patriots sit on park benches and grumble; but they are not in control of National policy. They go to the polls and vote for this or that touted "Great Conservative." No matter whom they vote for, Sidney Weinberg is still President. There is no "American Policy" today. The United States is completely in the hands of Internationalists. Walt Rostow summed up the policy of the International set: "We must see the end of nationhood as it has been traditionally defined." We must scuttle patriotism; we must sacrifice our native culture; we must submerge ourselves in the World State. This policy dominates all political parties; we cannot escape it by voting. We must be interbred with Negroes in order to wipe out our race and thus prevent the rise of any "Reactionary" tendencies (i.e., healthy patriotism). The Internationalists have the U.S.A. by the tail on a downhill pull.

Russia is not following an Internationalist policy. Russia is playing the good old bloody Nationalist game, and playing it with great skill and success. The National policies of Russia are not "Marxist" policies. Indeed, it is the U.S.A. which is following Marxist policies today. The simple fact is that the real headquarters of the Internationalist Conspiracy are located in New York. New York is trying to drag Moscow into the Internationalist Orbit, but Moscow does not drag easily. In New York the big, loud lying mouth of the Jew is heard; nobody else can be heard. In Moscow, the voice of the Jew is also heard, but not quite so much. The Jewish intellectual always claims to be engaged in a conspiracy to confer some untold ecstasy upon the human race. The Jewish voice is purposefully vague. It offers us the benefits of a high standard of living, freedom, capitalism, free enterprise; in the next instant it extolls "Liberal Communism." In New York the Jews are in the saddle. In Moscow, a secret police officer recently snarled to one of them, "We'll have the whole lot of you in prison within a year."

Why is Moscow going to toss all of these nice chaps into durance vile? TIME Magazine and the NEW YORK TIMES think that "Russia's Dissident Intellectuals" are great good fellows. They are Communists, to be sure, but they are LIBERAL Communists, and that makes all the difference. TIME features the writer Solzhenitsyn on its cover. Solzhenitsyn is the Russian Jew who wrote the novel THE FIRST CIRCLE, which is now on the NEW YORK TIMES best-seller list. Excerpts from the book have appeared in the SATURDAY EVENING POST. Clearly, Solzhenitsyn is being promoted in a big way by the American Establishment. Much is made of the fact that he spent many years in prison. We will bet even money that, if he keeps fooling around the way he is doing now, he will also spend several more years in prison. He is cordially hated by the Kremlin Establishment.

WHY is this so?

Let us cut through the bullcon and get to the basic policies involved. Solzhenitsyn is no kind of writer. There is nothing in his literary work to get excited about. Science fiction hacks write better books every day. THE FIRST CIRCLE is full of phoney situations and paperdoll characters. The fact is that Solzhenitsyn stands for the Marxist Internationalist policies which the American Establishment wishes Russia to adopt. That is why he is being touted in this country today. The Kremlin Establishment is grimly committed to a policy of Russian Nationalism. This stands in the way of "Convergence." Therefore, the American Jewish Internationalist Gang has started an intellectual vendetta against the present occupants of the Kremlin, whom they hope to oust by means of propaganda. Fifty years ago such a thing was possible. Fifty years ago the American Jews ousted the Romanov dynasty and put a gang of subservient Marxist quack intellectuals in control of Russia. This scheme has not worked out successfully. We may date the final failure of the conspiracy to a day in 1940, when a taciturn hood planted an ax into the skull of old Leon Trotsky in a villa in Mexico. Trotsky stood for Internationalism, and was in good favor with the American Es-



Our Congress—Our Mass Media—Our Leaders

tablishment. Stalin stood for Russian Nationalism and has never been in good favor with the New York Yids, who are the real backers of International Communism. The American Jewish Establishment is in favor of "Trotskyite" Marxism and promotes it around the world. "Stalinism," on the other hand, is utterly obscene.

In the mass media we read a great deal about the "crimes" of Josef Stalin. Josef Stalin was not a Sunday School teacher. He did indeed commit crimes on a vast scale. He could preside over a mass slaughter with aplomb. He was delighted by the sound of firing squads at work, especially if they were shooting Jews. Leon Trotsky was also a mass murderer, on a vaster scale than Stalin. The Trotskyites killed at least TEN MILLION PEOPLE in Russia. Yet we hear nothing about the crimes of Leon Trotsky; we only hear about the crimes of Stalin. Clearly, the Jews who run our mass media do not care what crimes anyone may or may not have committed. They harp on the "crimes" of those who oppose their policies and are perfectly quiet about crimes of those who serve their policies. Josef Stalin's real crime was Nationalism. He was a great rascal, a Czarist Secret Police Agent, a Jew-hater. He laughed at Marxism. He turned Communist Parties around the world into instruments of Russian Nationalism. In other words, he opposed Jewish policy. The Jews yearned madly to see Stalin dead; but even now that he is dead, they still rave about Stalinism. Stalin did not build his political machine in Russia on quick-sand. He built it on solid bedrock of consummate cynicism. He didn't build an ideology; he built a collection of vested interests. He did what Tom Pendergast did, and what "Mistah" Ed Crump did, and what every successful political boss does. He wrote off most of the high-flown and fly-blown moral pretensions of the human race; he followed a policy of rather dirty realism all the way. Nice guys often finish last; Stalin was not a nice guy, and in the Russian rat race he finished first. Russia fell into the hands of a dirty-minded Czarist Secret Police Agent. This was not what the New York backers of World Communism had contemplated at all. They had planned to put Russia under the management of trashy intellectuals who would be politically helpless and thus easy to control. The policy of the New York Gang failed in Russia. This is the basic cause of what is called "East-West Tension."

Russia today is following a classic Nationalist policy which would be instantly recognized and possibly cheered by Cardinal Richelieu, Prince Talleyrand, Metternich, Bismarck, or Nicolo Machiavelli. The United States is following an untried, unproven, and probably hopeless policy of Internationalism. U.S. policy today contemplates the merger of great nations, the submersion of National interests into a World State. Such a thing has never been successfully accomplished in all history. There is no precedent for such a thing and no convincing evidence that it can be accomplished successfully. On the other hand, the thing which Russia is attempting is a thing which has been done successfully, time after time in history; it is the routine stuff of all long-term history. We will tell you the cold fact bluntly: The U.S.A. is headed toward failure and National extinction. Russia is headed toward world power.

We would like to see it reversed. The main obstacle is the false basis of popular controversy which prevails, which has been promot-

ed by the mass media. We have a fixed image of "Red Russia" which has been created by the mass media; the real Russia stubbornly refuses to conform to this phoney image. Today it is America rather than Russia which is following a "Red" policy in the world. For instance, the United States certainly played a leading role in establishing Mao in power in China. Moscow was against a Communist revolution in China. New York was in favor of such a revolution. Long ago, in the 1920s and 1930s, a Jew named Borodin worked hard to lay the foundations of Communism in China; and he reaped his reward. He was so successful that Stalin had him shot. Stalin once told Mao that there was no real hope for a Communist revolution in China. He told the same thing to Maurice Thorez, the French Communist boss, and to Togliatti, the Italian Communist boss. Stalin was against Communist revolutions outside Russia. PRAVDA and ISVESTIA opposed foreign revolutions. THE SATURDAY EVENING POST on the other hand, was filled with Edgar Snow's brightly optimistic article about "Chinese Agrarian Reformers." Russia has opposed Communist revolutions; the United States has fostered and promoted them. The Powers of New York promoted the Russian revolution of 1917. Native forces in Russia have resisted it stubbornly, have taken it over, have changed it beyond recognition.

Hitler played an important role in this matter. During the time of Hitler, the American Jews were forced to abandon their vendetta against Stalin, because they needed his help in World War II. After the end of the war, hostilities between the Stalinists and the Trotskyites were resumed. Mao, backed by the American Jews, was used as an anti-Russian whip in the World Communist movement. The rift between Mao and the Russians was fomented by the American Jews in order to "discipline" Russia, to force the abandonment of the Nationalist policy by Russia. Russia did not prove amiable to any such pressures. Russian policy remained obdurately Nationalist.

The Internationalist game has failed. The American Establishment ought to write off its losses and abandon Internationalism. We should have a restoration of patriotism, nationalism, strong military policy, and competent management of our national affairs. It is not "Communists" or "Conspirators" who prevent such a return to sound policy. It is the American capitalists. They have their ships under foreign flags. They have huge investments around the world. They simply cannot bear to face the cold fact that they are going to lose their shirts. They have arrived at the point of ultimate incompetence: They reject reality and base their policies upon empty dreams, like "those who try to rear the changeling hope in the cave of black despair." They have thrown away their stake in our national life. COMMON SENSE is not against capitalists. We can think of capitalists whom we have admired greatly, such as Gustav Krupp. Krupp was a very smart man. Unhappily, our American capitalists, aside from the Yids, seem to be "Country Boys" in need of an education, which today's world will give them in a hurry. They have failed to live up to their billing. They have yammered about "Private Enterprise" but "Foundations" control most of our big industries. They have supported vast hordes of "anti-Communists" but they have also financed Communism around the world. Are we for or against capitalists? We are for them, if you are talking about Gustav Krupp or Henry Ford. If you are talking about Bernard Baruch or Sidney Weinberg, we are against them all the way. You can have our share of the Rockefellers, Guggenheims, and Belmonts. (We will also throw in the Kennedys for good measure). There is no magic inherent in capitalism or the possession of big money which can make up for the kind of incompetence into which the American capitalist society is sinking today. Unless it mends its ways, American capitalism is headed for extinction.

The basic conflict between East and West is a conflict between Nationalism and Internationalism. "Red Russia" is following a smart policy of sound Nationalism; the United States is following a totally untried

(Continued on Page 4, Column 3)

Bankruptcy of Nation Legacy of Jewish Rule

By A. CHRISTIAN

We hate to confess it to our grandchildren, but we have got to admit to them that the battle to protect Christian civilization as the basis of sound family life and exalting idealism which makes life worthwhile, is about over.

We are not losing the battle; we have lost it.

The hippies prove it; the empty secularism of our university level proves it; the lechery and beastiality and violence of our stage and motion pictures prove it; our books, our magazines, our newspapers prove it.

The cowardice and collapse of the church in the face of continuous onslaughts against the Ten Commandments and the Sermon on the Mount prove it. The godless school system, maneuvered into its present position by the Supreme Court, proves it. The puppets in political life, jumping at each jerk of the strings, prove it. The "no win" policy in Vietnam proves it. The "love-in" with Soviet Russia while that nation continues to supply the means of killing our boys in Vietnam, prove it. The complicated banking structure which hides the real debauchers of our religious and ethical ideals proves it. The only way we can even partially get at the culprits in the destruction of Christian civilization is to name the top leaders of the giant corporations most directly involved in this enslavement . . . corporations like Radio Corporation of America, Columbia Broadcasting Company, the Xerox Corporation, The New York Times.

Always the same influence appears . . . the influence that commands: "kill Christ."

The young people of this generation, fed on sex syrup and scientific materialism through the schools and colleges, do not know what this is all about. One must place the present situation against the background of fifty years ago to see it clearly in all its deadliness.

Young people today find motion pictures "interesting" which would have caused open rebellion only 25 years ago. An example is "The Graduate" now making the run of the nation's theaters and contaminating everyone it touches with its direct attack upon the Name of Christ, the Cross of Christ, and its presentation of seduction and adultery. The stage reeks with the same thing. Advertisements in the daily press today would have been banned as poisonous attacks upon the public welfare a few years ago.


The use of the Negro question by the Christ killers is a case in point. It is obvious to a child that the furor today over the race question has been implemented and financed, not to help the Negro, but to further undermine the foundations of Christian faith and our Christian civilization. The "love and brotherhood" slogans produce the opposite: hatred, anger, obscenity, licentiousness, a coarsening of everything that holds Christian civilization together.

Politicians are in such terror that they dare not tell the truth. Nobody seems able to publicize the facts about the financing of this large-scale destruction, yet almost everyone understands that it is being done. Eruptions of violence that have plagued American cities during the past couple of years do not just happen. They are financed. And when it is determined who is financing this violence and why, the people of America will be able to capture their nation, re-establish the Christian ideals that have maintained law and order. The financiers of violence will be punished as common criminals for the protection of society.

When will this time come? Who knows? Who can argue successfully against a million bucks? However, the time will come.

The rising resentment of parents who realize that their children are being sacrificed to commercialized evil and then slaughtered in a "no win" war, will bring about this awakening.

Those who have used their millions to



God bless our native land, firm may she ever stand,
Through storm and night;
When the wild tempests rave, Ruler of wind and wave,
Do Thou our country save, by Thy great might.
For her our prayers shall rise, to God above the skies,
On Him we wait;
Thou Who art ever nigh, guarding with watchful eye
To Thee aloud we cry, God save the State.
—Siegfried A. Mannmann (1815).

CZECH-MATE?

(Continued from Page 1, Column 2)

conference in Moscow is anybody's guess. That his return to Prague was necessary to restore tranquility had obviously impinged even on the mind of the Kremlin, not noted for the subtlety of its perceptions, but the man who went back was a very different Dubcek from the man whose smiling face only a few days before had been a symbol of Czechoslovakian insouciance and defiance.

Czechoslovakia's radio and newspapers, after a few sardonic remarks, submitted to "voluntary" censorship, most of the Russian tanks retired beyond the public gaze, and the country was restored to the drab conformity of a Communist satellite. What of significance had taken place as a result of all the clamour and commotion? I can think of only one remarkable happening. When Dubcek was back, nominally at least, in the saddle, the regime proclaimed that the lives of upwards of a thousand people had been endangered, and hastened to send them out of the country into Austria, apparently with Russian approval.

Here, I thought, was a pointer to the missing factor, for missing factor there obviously had been from the generally accepted narrative. It was not reasonable to suppose that the Soviet Union would have sent a huge army into a small neighbouring country for no other purpose than to stop this or that Czechoslovakian radio or newspaper commentator saying "boh" to this or that Czechoslovakian goose. Nor was it in the interest of the government of that small country to invite such an invasion by needlessly encouraging the game of goose-baiting.

Those Elders Again!

What, then, was the real issue? I do not know the full answer, but I am indebted to Mr. Walter Laquer, the Director of the Wiener Library, for putting me on the track of a possible clue in an article he wrote for the Observer. The Observer, in describing his position, referred to the Wiener Library as "the Institute for the Study of Contemporary History," a charming euphemism for World Jewry's most highly-powered and efficient research and information service. It was Mr. Laquer's use of the word "paranoid" which intrigued me, because the new line taken to try to discredit those of us who believe that much history can only be understood on a conspiratorial basis is to attribute to us the mental disease of paranoia. This is what he wrote: "Only a paranoid will claim that Russia's troubles with its allies in Eastern Europe have been provoked by the 'elders of Zion'."

I was about to dismiss the sentence with a wry smile when the sudden hunch came upon me to refer to my files on the Zionist movement in Czechoslovakia and refresh my memory as to how it had fared there. It was an interesting exercise. Older readers may remember that about sixteen years ago Slansky, Secretary of the Czech Communist Party and ten other Jews, together with two or three Gentiles, were arraigned on charges of high treason, and that eight of the eleven Jews were executed.

I am not entering into an argument as to the justice or injustice of the trial and execution, but stating the fact. It is also a fact that

establish a Christless America have failed miserably. The old-time America with its ideals, the "old-time religion" and the old-time moral code, will emerge out of the present ashes.

But what a price America, founded upon Christian ideals, has had to pay as a result of being pressured away from the solid rock upon which it was founded.

in the Spring of this year there began a formidable movement in Czechoslovakia for the rehabilitation of Slansky's name, coinciding with the fall from grace of those who had been concerned with his indictment. Indeed, the Jews were in the forefront of the movement which led to the overthrow of the Novotny regime and not the least of the causes of Dubcek's rise to fame in world opinion was his championship of the Jewish — that is to say, in effect, of the Zionist — cause.

And Zionism, because of its implications of dual loyalties, is anathema in Russia and in the satellites which follow the Russian line. Nothing could have been less pleasing to Moscow than when Dubcek announced the celebrations next year of the millennium of Jewish settlement in Prague after Novotny had cancelled them. The celebrations were to be on a lavish scale and were to go hand-in-hand with the abolition of emigration and travel restrictions. I am not concerned to argue against their abolition, since restrictions on travel seem to me an insufferable abrogation of human rights. What does concern me is to show what the Czechoslovakian trouble was conceivably all about.

The Soviet Union was clearly not prepared to allow its satellite to become a centre of Jewish renaissance and a symbol of the Undying Zionist dream.

This brings us back to the curious action by Dubcek on his return from Moscow. As I have mentioned, a thousand people were proclaimed to be in danger of their lives and they were duly sent out of the country by special trains without interference from the Russians. Were they by any chance Jews and if so, was their planned evacuation a means whereby Moscow rid itself of an embarrassing Zionist problem in Czechoslovakia? I do not know. Mr. Laquer perhaps knows, but having no paranoid propensities he is unlikely to tell! Once they were out of the country Czechoslovak critics were still forbidden to say "boh" to Czechoslovak geese!

There were other strange circumstances about the Russian entry into Prague. Although equipped with the most lethal weapons, the huge armoured force was sent there obviously more for show than to deal out death. Casualties were few and the attitude of the Russian soldiers was less one of vigilance than of bored inertia. The whole thing had the appearance of play-acting, which in no way lessens the courage of the young Czechs who jeered at the troops and chalked swastikas on their tanks. They were not to know that the guns were unlikely to fire. Nor is it to detract from the courage of the television cameramen to say that the large amount of latitude they were allowed suggests that they were not altogether without protection. To be oblivious of the large questionmarks which still hang over Prague is the sign of a comfortably credulous mind.

Also of unusual interest was the reaction in other countries. At the time of the Hungarian rebellion, while many members resigned in disgust, Communist Parties abroad were still playing the sedulous ape to Moscow. But not this time. Indeed, they seemed to agree with the B.B.C., which on several occasions went out of its way to refer to the Soviet's hard-line Communists as "conservatists"! What a weird miscellany joined forces to protest against the invasion. The Popular Front extended all the way from Mr. Heath to Tariq Ali, and Miss Pat Arrowsmith's protest-prone sit-upon was also available for service in the same cause.

The change in emphasis has been apparent for some time and has been observable in places as far apart as Chicago and the Sorbonne. Reds who wish to get into the contemporary picture stand less chance today of doing so as Communists than they do as Revolutionary Socialists, or Anarchists or bobbing bands of Hippies. I do not doubt that many dripping wet young "idealists" of the Cohn-Bendit variety get caught up in the rapidly succeeding waves of protest which carry turbulence round the world, but where protesters are imported from abroad one has good reason to suspect the existence of a hard core of new subversives, and equally good reason to wonder what the reason may be and who are the paymasters.

Yes, in particular, who are the paymasters?

Monroe Doctrine Vital to Survival

(Continued from Page 1, Column 3)

formed the Monroe Doctrine "INTO A MAJOR NATIONAL POLICY, APPLAUDED IN THE NEW WORLD, RESPECTED IN THE OLD."

After World War I, Latin American nations began to demand, with increasing success, that the principles of the Monroe Doctrine be laid aside in favor of a system of so-called collective security. It was clear to this country that this so-called collective security would mean an end to the Monroe Doctrine. It would involve not only a surrender of our own right of self-defense but a surrender of our national sovereignty. We had refrained from joining the League of Nations, but now we were being asked to trust to a combination of Latin-American countries, critical decisions involving our national destiny.

At the Sixth International Conference of American States at Havana in 1928, the then Secretary of State, Charles Evans Hughes, clearly put forth the American viewpoint with reference to intervention. His words are even more telling today in regard to American lives, property and interests in Latin America. Secretary Hughes asked: 'What are we going to do when government breaks down and American citizens are in danger of their lives? Are we going to stand by and see them killed because a government can no longer afford reasonable protection?'

But the clear and constructive voice of Hughes was not to prevail in official Washington for long. In 1933 a new ideology proclaimed itself as the dominant force in government. The voices of Marxist internationalism were now the ones which spoke with authority. The late President Roosevelt chose as Secretary of State Cordell Hull, an ardent liberal who worshipped at the internationalist shrine of the late President Woodrow Wilson.

Worried Americans feared that the New Deal meant to bury the Monroe Doctrine and the principles for which it stood. It did not take long for their suspicion to be confirmed.

In September 1933, just six months after Roosevelt took office, revolution broke out in Cuba. A new regime took over in a spirit of violent anti-Americanism. Soon there began a series of seizures of American-owned power plants and sugar refineries, but no move was made by the new Administration to protect American lives and property. The long years of neglecting our own interests and the interests of freedom in Latin America had clearly begun.

In May 1934, with great speed and without a record vote, a new treaty with Cuba was pushed through the Senate. This new treaty surrendered time-honored and important American rights, including the right of intervention in behalf of our own interests, which we had maintained as a basic right and which was a part of the Cuban Constitution since that country's freedom from Spain.

To alien diplomats with a mature and realistic view of foreign relations, this must have seemed a very foolish move on our part. To surrender American rights necessary to maintain our nation's security in the Caribbean, must have seemed like a very misguided course of action to even the most friendly observers. But to Soviet Russia, already looking and planning in the direction of Latin America, it was much more than nonsense. It was a green light, a go signal for subversion and infiltration.

It is little wonder when Fidel Castro took over in Cuba in 1959 and began his bloodbath of mass murders and his looting and confiscation of American property, he could do so without worrying about the consequences. No doubt he had been told by his Communist advisors years before that Uncle Sam was now a paper tiger in the Caribbean, and that any petty despot could spread tyranny and revolution with a minimum of apprehension. For the fear was all on the part of the United States, or rather on the part of the misguided and mistaken officials who had been charting our Latin policy since the early 1930's.

Fearful officials, who were nothing but the dupes of Communist agents, had set the tone of our policies by spreading the line that we dare not act in our own interest lest we appear to be imperialistic. Since the advent of the Monroe Doctrine this country had been the protector and even the liberator of the peoples of Latin America. But in the maze of Communist agents and Socialistic propagandists, our position continued to deteriorate.

The enemy could see that we were not going to stand by the principles of the Monroe Doctrine and he was prepared to act accordingly. In the spirit of contempt which Nikita Khrushchev showed toward us when he saw us in a moment of indecision and moral weakness, he declared the Monroe Doctrine was dead and that it ought to be "buried as every dead body was so that it did not poison the air by its decay."

Today in Latin America there is decay in the atmosphere, the decayed philosophy of Communism which is being spread at a bold and steady pace by the foreign agents of the Moscow and Peking Communists. If these agents are allowed to go their subversive ways unchecked soon there will be more real estate than the island of Cuba to liberate.

If we want to reverse this tide of subversion sweeping back and forth to such a frightening extent below our borders, then we had best return to the spirit of the Monroe Doctrine, which clearly opposes alien intervention into the affairs of all nations in the Americas.

Castro-controlled Cuba has become the home office for the representatives of tyranny throughout Latin America. It is only common sense that, if we are to effectively aid the governments of South America in stopping this subversive flood, we must plug up the hole from which it comes. If we are going to begin successfully combatting the forces which directly threaten even our own nation's security, we must quarantine the Castro regime, so that its effectiveness as a base of subversion evaporates.

Of a certainty, Cuba today is a stronghold of alien enemy influence and foreign enemy subversion. It need not remain so if we will take the lead, in the spirit of our own Monroe Doctrine, and effectively blockade the Castro regime. This in turn will lead to the day, in the not too distant future, when this tyrant will be forced from power and the people of Cuba allowed to live under liberty. When that day arrives, it will mean much more than freedom for the Cuban people, it will mean that we have won true respect throughout Latin America and the world.

We will be compelled to act in defense of liberty sooner or later, but let it be sooner or it may turn out to be too late.

MORE GUNS . . . LESS CRIME

The strictest gun law in the world is in England, but since 1961, she has had the greatest per capita of homicides, mostly with knives and clubs; but in Switzerland, which has the lowest crime rate, all male citizens receive a gun at the age of nine and for their entire lifetime they must keep their guns in their homes ready for action.

Real Rift in World Communism

(Continued from Page 2, Column 3)

and unproven policy of Internationalism. This is indeed a curious transposition. It is Russia which is supposed to be "Red," according to the mass media; but it is the U.S.A. which really follows a "Red" policy. Unless this curious ambiguity is eliminated from our national life, we foresee, by looking into our crystal ball, a series of huge mushroom clouds spreading across America. The fact is that the Jewish Establishment which rules this country today are such a consummate pack of bastards that the rest of the world will be unable to live with them, and we shall face a hostile military alliance composed of most of the rest of the world. If the disarmament quacks have their way, we shall also be disarmed when this happens. Then, Mister Average American, you have HAD IT! What can you do now? After careful consideration, we recommend space travel. Go to some other planet for a while. In a few years, it will be both safe and pleasant to return to planet earth. The population will be much reduced, so there will be more elbow room. The silly asses who conduct the earth's affairs today will be gone. Marxism will be gone. Russia will have settled down to practice Doestoevski's Christianity for a thousand years, just as Oswald Spengler predicted. What about America? If you wish to entertain any really solid and attainable hope for the future, you must observe a few basic rules of political sanity. Nobody in this country is doing so today!

Abolish the Federal Reserve

By A. J. PORTH
(Tax Consultant)

If all the currency in circulation consisted of United States Notes, there would be no Federal or State Income Tax. There would be no need for a gasoline or sales tax. Proof of this statement is a matter of record. No income tax existed before the passage of the Federal Reserve Act. (Dec. 23, 1913). Prior to that time there were no Federal Reserve Notes in circulation. Documentation will show that the need for revenue increased as the number of Federal Reserve Notes increased.

The Internal Revenue Service should be received and looked upon as an agency collecting accounts receivable for a private enterprise (Federal Reserve Banks). The employees are paid out of public funds.

Federal Reserve Banks buy a \$10,000 Federal Reserve Note for the cost of paper and printing which has been estimated to be less than one penny. They loan this amount to the nation or to individuals at par. Is it any wonder that we are in debt and slaves to a dishonest money system? (See U.S.C. Title 12 ch. 3, Sec. 420).

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS

By A. K. Chesterton ----- \$2.00

A most penetrating and thought-provoking exposure of power-politics intrigue unmasking diabolical designs of world banking lords.

It pinpoints the Invisible Government and their designs for One World Dictatorship, with particular emphasis on the duality of American political attitudes.

The KEY to the MYSTERY

THE JEWISH QUESTION

as exposed and explained by the

JEWS THEMSELVES

Almost suddenly the world in general, and all countries in particular, have been thrown into a real hell.

Humanity is overwhelmed with . . .

- Wars.
- Revolutions.
- Acute Crises.
- Waves of Unemployment.
- Gigantic Ruins.
- Terrible Upheavals.
- Political Turmoils.
- Social Strike.
- Fear and Anguish.
- Depressions without precedent.

KEEP THIS TRACT: Read it, read it again, meditate upon it. It will give you the key to the mystery, will explain to you clearly what is happening in the world, will enlighten you on the great events that are happening in the world and will happen in the future. A book which has been called over your eyes by an interested propaganda will fall, you shall see clearly. And because you will be aware of the danger, you will be able to protect yourself from it.

Recently Reprinted — Price 50 Cents

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S. CANADA	U. S. CANADA
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00

Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year

BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED

20 copies	\$1.00
100 copies	4.00
500 copies	15.00
1000 copies	20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATION

330 Chestnut Street, Union,